

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/655,323               | 09/05/2003        | Hideo Asakawa        | 0055/035001             | 5857             |
| 22893                    | 7590 07/27/2004 · |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| SMITH PATENT OFFICE      |                   |                      | DICKEY, THOMAS L        |                  |
| 1901 PENNSY<br>SUITE 200 | LVANIA AVENUE N W |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                          | ON, DC 20006      |                      | 2826                    |                  |
|                          |                   |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |       |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|-------|--|--|--|
|   | 10/655,323   | ASAKAWA, HIDEO  |       |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  | )     |  |  |  |
|   | Thomas L Dickey  | 2826  | A     |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence ado   | iress |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE  | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |       |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |       |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 S</u>   | September 2003.  |   |       |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>  | s action is non-final.   |   |       |  |  |  |
| ·   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |       |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   | •     |  |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or  | wn from consideration.   |   | •     |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |       |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |       |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |       |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |   |       |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |   |       |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |       |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | is have been received. Is have been received in Application In the second is second in Application In the second i | on No<br>d in this National S   | Stage |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   |       |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 4)  Interview Summary (<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Da   |   |       |  |  |  |
| Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |  |   | 152)  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/655,323 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 11-13, drawn to a method, classified in class 438, subclass 123.
  - II. Claims 1-8,10, and 14-19, drawn to a device, classified in class 257, sub 668.
  - III. Claim 9, drawn to a composition, classified in class 252, subclass 301.4.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the Group I process invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group II product invention, because the Group I process invention could make a materially different device from that of the Group II invention. For example, the process of claim 11 could used to make an incandescent light bulb with a molded base, a device materially different from the device of claim 1.

Art Unit: 2826

4. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the Group I process invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group III product invention, because the Group I process invention could make a materially different product from the composition of the Group III invention. For example, the process of claim 11 could used to make an incandescent light bulb with a molded base, a device materially different from the device of claim 9.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions II and III have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects. Invention II operates to support and supply electrical power to an electrical element. The electrical element operates off the supplied power to perform electrical functions having electrical effects. Invention III operates as a fluorescent dye having the function of down-converting wavelengths of (typically) vacuum UV light.

Art Unit: 2826

**5.** Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

**6.** Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas L Dickey whose telephone number is 571-272-1913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on 703-308-6601. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2826

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TLD 07/2004

dombonton Minhloan Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2826